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The MARQUETTE LAW REVIEW is edited for the sole purpose of assisting the bench and bar. In the practice of law there are always new problems to solve—new questions to be answered. As each proposition arises, some lawyers give it particular thought and study—all lawyers are interested in the new developments of the law. A lawyer can sometimes render his profession an invaluable service by giving to it his opinion or interpretation of a particular phase of the law. The LAW REVIEW is the best medium through which this service can be rendered. The MARQUETTE LAW REVIEW is desirous of maintaining its present usefulness, and with that end in mind it invites the bench and bar to co-operate in order that it may fulfill its primary purpose—to be of real assistance to the bench and bar.

EDITORIAL COMMENTS

In order to acquire jurisdiction it is often necessary to get service by publication. It is evident that the procedure to be followed in serving by publication is of extreme importance. There are so many instances where the technicalities of the Statutes are disregarded, that it seems as though the Statutes are not thoroughly understood by the profession in general. At least, many lawyers are very careless in this regard, which often results in loss of time and money to litigants. Accordingly, we
are publishing in this issue a very meritorious article prepared by the Hon. Edgar V. Werner, Judge of the Tenth Judicial Circuit of Wisconsin, entitled, "Service by Publication; an Ex parte Proceeding; Facts Essential for Order and Findings in Order Jurisdictional." This article contains a very able, thorough, and comprehensive discussion of the subject, and we are sure that our readers will read it with keen interest.

This issue also contains an article by Joseph Herron Crowley, of the Cleveland Bar, on "The Torrens System." The contributor discusses this question from two sides, giving both the disadvantages and the advantages of the system. In our next issue will appear another article on the Torrens System which will deal with a summary of conclusions of a dispassionate and unbiased inquirer into the system. The Torrens System is a live question as it is still in the experimental stage in many of our states. It is for the people of Wisconsin to observe closely the results of this system in other states, in order that when the time comes to choose between our present system of land recording and the Torrens System of land registration, we will be fully apprised of the merits and defects of each system.

We also desire to call our readers' attention to the lecture by Dean Max Schoetz, Jr., of the Marquette College of Law, on the subject of "Law—Its Nature, Source and Classification," and to the discourse prepared by Elmer W. Roller, entitled, "The Impairment of Contract Obligations and Vested Rights." Both of these numbers are exceptionally interesting and are deserving of the careful reading which we are sure they will receive.

C. J. BOILEAU, Editor-in-Chief.