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PORTRAIT OF A JUROR: A SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY

CAROLE L. HINCHCLIFF*

American Jurors' Impressions of Jury Duty
I. Personal Narratives: Lawyers, Judges and Law Students
II. Journalists' Reports of Judges' and Lawyers' Experiences as Jurors
III. Personal Narratives: Non-Lawyers
IV. Journalists Reports of Laypersons' Experiences as Jurors

AMERICAN JURORS' IMPRESSIONS OF JURY DUTY

Millions of American citizens have served as jurors. For most, their jury experience is the most intimate they will have with the court system and being a juror is an opportunity for first-hand participation in governmental decision making. For a few, namely lawyers and judges, jury service provides an opportunity to view court procedures from a fresh vantage point.

Jurors apparently share one common characteristic: they like to relate their experience. Those who have done so in writing have provided us not only with entertaining reading but also with valuable insights into the jury system in this country, as they offer lawyers advice, evaluate the system, and suggest improvements.

This bibliography grew out of material collected by Diana Balio for *A View from the Other Side of the Bench* by Justice Shirley S. Abrahamson. It is a selection of short articles, rather than book length accounts, by grand and petit jurors, by prospective jurors who waited but did not serve, and by journalists who reported on jurors' experiences. The range of periodicals which published these accounts turned out to be
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surprisingly wide, including legal periodicals, scholarly journals, popular magazines, and newspapers spanning this century.

The personal accounts range from the lighthearted to the serious. Over the years some things about jury service never seem to change. Recurrent themes in the accounts are the tedious of waiting to be called for selection, the *voir dire*, whether women jurors behave differently from their male counterparts, and the jury decision-making process. For the most part, but with some notable exceptions, jurors express faith in the jury system. They have seen the system work despite its shortcomings.

Currently many scholars are investigating the operation of the jury. Judges and scholars are working to improve the system. "Scientific" examination of the jury does not lessen the importance and interest in anecdotal accounts of jury experience. I hope that this bibliography assists readers interested in exploring jury service from the jurors' perspective.

I. PERSONAL NARRATIVES: LAWYERS, JUDGES, AND LAW STUDENTS


Richard B. Amande, *From Voir Dire to Verdict Through a Juror's Eyes*, 9 PRAC. LAW. 21 (Oct. 1963) (lawyer advises colleagues on the basis of the cases in which he was a juror and extensive interviews with a dozen ex-jurors).


jury duty in Judge Aspen’s court and discusses pros and cons of allowing lawyers on juries).


H.W. Powell, *A Lawyer on the Jury*, 24 CASE & COM. 740 (1918) (Seattle lawyer says the most important lesson learned was to make things entirely clear to the jury).

Carl B. Rubin, *The Opportunity to “...Well and Truly Try and Due Deliverance Make...”*, CIN. B.A. REP., Sept. 1985, at 1 (judge-juror confirms his belief that jurors are not stupid).


Samuel R. Stern, *Men Not So Good and Not So True*, 13 Un-Partizan Rev. 287 (1920) (lawyer-juror concludes the jury system is "ossified, useless, cumbersome, expensive, ineffective, and dilatory").


Anon., *Impressions of a Not-So-Average Juror*, 7 Am. J. Trial Advoc. 141 (1983) (lawyer-juror finds the jury was conscientious and offers suggestions to improve the system).

Editorial, *The Worm Turns. A Rebuked Juror Answers the Rebuking Judge*, 7 Va. L. Reg. (n.s.) 933 (1922) (law-trained jury foreperson explains how the judge's instructions were followed in reaching the "disgraceful" verdict).

II. Journalists' Reports of Judges' and Lawyers' Experiences as Jurors


Matt Kramer, *His Own Judge and Jury*, Newton Tab., Oct. 28, 1981, at 6 (Judge Sherman learns judges should use less legal jargon when instructing jurors).

George B. Merry, *Jury-Picking in the 80's: Fewer Exemptions, Shorter Service the Trend*, Christian Sci. Monitor, March 27, 1981, at 11 (chief administrative judge of Maryland's Montgomery County, David L. Cahoon was "impressed with the conscientiousness of fellow jurors and their intense desire to be fair").


UPI Release, Oct. 23, 1985 (Judge Robert A. Benson of Kent County Circuit, Grand Rapids, Michigan, appreciates opportunity to serve as juror).


III. PERSONAL NARRATIVES: NON-LAWYERS


Meredyth Albright, Justice Through Juries, Rhinelander Daily News (Wis.), Mar. 16 - Mar. 21, 1986 (six-part series includes managing editor's reactions to her jury service and the general operation of a jury trial).

Frederick Irvin Anderson, A Question from the Jury Box, 205 SAT. EVENING POST 25 (Nov. 14, 1931) (veteran juror comments on the jury system).

Eliot Asinof, The Trials of a Juror, N.Y. Times, Nov. 12, 1972, § VI (Magazine), at 40 (professional writer of courtroom dramas serves on a Manhattan jury).

Pat Aufderheide, The Trials of a Prospective Juror, In These Times, May 12-18, 1982, at 16 (newspaper editor who was struck from jury panel relates voir dire questions and responses).


Frederick Orin Bartlett, Gentlemen of the Jury, 198 SAT. EVENING POST 12 (Dec. 5, 1925) (initially reluctant juror finds service satisfying).
Stewart Beach, Jurors, 179 ATL. MONTHLY 112 (Mar. 1947) (editor and author relates observations on jury selection and recommends jury service for lawyers).

Hunter Beckelhymer, Verdict, 93 CHRISTIAN CENTURY 432 (May 1976) (minister finds serving on a criminal case "a profoundly positive experience").

William Bender, Beyond a Shadow of Doubt, CHICAGO, Mar. 1975, at 112 (juror relates testimony and deliberations in a Chicago murder case).

Ralph Bergengren, Good Men and True, 13 UNPARTIZAN REV. 273 (Mar. 1920) (juror believes the jury system is a necessary evil that seems to answer its purposes).

Robert Bingham, How to Make Lawyers Wish You'd Go Away, 29 REPORTER 42 (Sept. 26, 1963) (reluctant juror advises how to act during voir dire to avoid selection).


Kathryn A. Borys, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, May 26, 1983, § 1, at 26, col. 5 (juror reads Arthur Ochs Sulzberger's letter of May 12 while serving; it provided "a moment of united commiseration" for the potential jurors).

Linda Bridges, Trial by Jury, 32 NAT'L REV. 729 (1980) (reluctant juror learns to admire the system; emphasizes jury selection and deliberations).


John P. Bruton, A Juryman Retaliates, 80 FORUM 796 (1928) (juror challenges author of article classifying jurors as ignorant and obtuse).


Ruth Carey, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, Apr. 3, 1973, at 42, col. 3 (reply to Thomas O'Flaherty's letter, "Our Abused Jurors"; jury service was "a fascinating and awesome experience").
Bennett Cerf, *Trade Winds*, 29 SAT. REV. LIT. 38 (Mar. 23, 1946) (journalist-juror comments on grand jury service; learns of more amusing cases while lunching with an attorney).


Caroline Bartlett Crane, *Jury Service in a Michigan City*, WOMAN CITIZEN, Nov. 1927, at 27 (“we . . . were green hands picked at random from the tax rolls — snatched from the grocery, the barber shop, the garage, the parlor and the kitchen — to be judges of ‘plain facts’ which nobody seemed disposed to make plain”).

Carol Croland, *Viewpoint*, 77 GLAMOUR 118 (May 1979) (journalist-juror welcomes the heterogenous company and new perspective on her own life).

Lloyd M. Crosgrave, *A Juror’s Experiences*, 21 ILL. L. REV. 530 (1927) (juror addresses the problem of “the widely known hesitancy of the ‘better class’ to serve as jurors”).
George S. Dalgety, *The New Juryman Thinks Aloud*, 15 J. AM. JUDICATURE SOC’Y 56 (1931) (juror finds after two weeks he “is in a fair way to become bolshevik, and so disgusted and irritated that he wants to advocate the overthrow of everything that has the mark of a government agency”).


Mary J. DeWeaver, *Trial by Jury—for the Jurors*, D.C. Gazette, June 1975, at 12 (juror denounces “the capricious treatment” of jurors in the D.C. Superior Court; of 22 days spent on jury duty she served seven and a half hours).


Ellen W. Eager, *Jurors Also Serve, but Mostly They Sit and Wait*, Wash. Post, Sept. 19, 1985, District Weekly, at DC2 (former juror joined citizens’ groups to improve court system; advocates one trial/one day jury service).


Irwin Edman, *And Gladly Serve*, 18 AM. SCHOLAR 488 (1949) (juror is awed by the issues and principles involved).


Fred Ferretti, *Welcome to Jury Duty*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 15, 1976, § 11, at 1, col. 1 (juror passes the time watching TV, reading, and working a jigsaw puzzle before being excused).

Sherry Friedman, *A Verdict Grows in Brooklyn*, New York, Sept. 29, 1980, at 15 (writer emerges both frustrated and proud to have served on panel of bickering jurors in prolonged murder trial).


Joanne Duke Gamblee, *What It’s Like to Serve on a Jury*, 8 Barrister 16 (Summer 1979) (despite panel of conscientious jurors, questions of “were we fair,” and “what was the truth” still haunt juror).


Arnold L. Goren, 21 Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, 28 J. Educ. Soc’y 325 (1955) (juror justifies the necessity of education of the public about jury duty, provision of information about the law to juries, and courses in jury psychology for law students).


Edith Greene, *A Jury Researcher Joins the Jury*, 92 Case & Com., Jan.-Feb. 1986, at 28 (social scientist takes “the opportunity to step inside the system and look out”; she translates her insights into tips for lawyers on effective trial advocacy).


(professor of political science finds jury service in "a typical New York murder trial" fascinating and disquieting).

Gladys Harrison, “And Take Your Places in the Box”, WOMAN CITIZEN, Oct. 1927, at 26 (juror criticizes optional jury law of the day for leading to disparagement of women).

Rachael Hartley, A Lady Juror Comments on Juries, Lawyers and Courts, 14 CONN. B.J. 64 (1940) (juror gives impressions of lawyers' behavior and dress; regards jury service as a high calling).


Patrick Healy, Memoirs of a Manhattan Juror: An Adventure in Apathy, 62 A.B.A. J. 460 (1976) (juror finds panel members are apathetic; procedures are inefficient and meaningless, and the pace is glacial).

Beau Hickman, Home Town, 18 WASHINGTONIAN 258 (1983) (“Two weeks is too long...you get jury-goofy”).

Verna Hildebrand, A Historical Note on Jury Service for Women, 40 HUMANIST 38 (July-Aug. 1980) (a woman juror traces women's ineligibility to serve on juries).

Edward Hoagland, In the Toils of the Law, 229 ATL. MONTHLY 53 (June 1972) (juror details of how jurors tackled several slice-of-life cases in Manhattan).


Emily Jefferson, Trials of a Jury, N.Y. Times, Apr. 24, 1977, § 23, at 28, col. 3 (children's playwright concludes that jurors are treated like children or sheep; ignorance of courtroom procedures and the jurors' role causes frustration; nevertheless, jurors work hard to be fair).


Edward Kennebeck, From the Jury Box, in R.J. Simon, THE JURY SYSTEM IN AMERICA 235 (1975) (editorial staff
member of Viking Press tells his experiences as a juror in a Black Panther trial).

Mrs. Leslie King, *Jury Duty Called an Impressive Lesson*, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, Mar. 7, 1976, § 11, at 27, col. 4 (juror replies to Fred Ferretti’s Feb. 15 article on jury service in Essex County; juror emphasizes the “positives” of jury duty; she felt treated like an appreciated guest of the county).

Lillian Klass, *From the Viewpoint of a Juror*, LAWYER, Feb. 1941, at 17 (juror offers fragments from testimony and deliberations).


Barbara Lee, *Verdict for a Bathtub Killing: A Juror’s Testimony*, HARPER’S WEEKLY, Aug. 22, 1975, at 10 (scientist-juror recounts the testimony and the deliberation process which resulted in a unanimous decision without coercion or intimidation).

Russell Lynes, *The People vs. Some Persons*, HARPER’S MAG., Apr. 1967, at 28 (grand juror tells how grand juries operate and finds this “extracurricular” activity operates to protect “The People” and “Some Persons in Misfortune”).


John Macy, *Indiscretions of a Juror*, 17 CASE & COM. 607 (1911), reprinted in 23 GREEN BAG 171 (1911); 19 LAW TIMES 172 (1911); 131 LAW STUDENTS’ HELPER 108 (1911); 11 CRIM. L. 630 (Nov. 1910); 13 LAW NOTES
221 (1910) (journalist finds “the juryman is a dispassionate spectator of the comedy in which he plays”).

Julius A. Marolla, I, the Juror, Horicon Reporter (Wis.), Aug. 2 - Sept. 20, 1984 (newspaper reporter who served on a circuit court jury for more than six months records his observations in an eight-part series).

Kim Masters, Jury’s Rationality: Reasonable Doubt, 7 Legal Times, Dec. 3, 1984, at 1, col. 3 (for the benefit of lawyers, staff writer for Legal Times reports on the deliberations of several juries on which she served).


Roger B. May, Is This TV Show Guilty or Innocent?, Wall St. J., Jan. 31, 1985, at 28, col. 3 (news editor spends “what was supposed to be a week of weighty decisions”; as a juror the only decision he made was where to go for lunch; as a participant in the Nielson TV ratings survey he’ll wait until next season to see if his decisions counted).

Dennis Lee Milford, Another Juror’s Day, Gay Community News, Mar. 11, 1978, at 4 (juror disagrees with Mitzel’s strongly stated negative picture of the jury system).

Mitzel, A Gay Juror’s Day in Court, Gay Community News, Feb. 25, 1978, at 10 (belligerent juror’s experience of a rape case confirms his worst suspicions about the legal system (“If your idea of Hell is other people, then sitting in the jury pool for 30 days is The First Circle”)).


Imogen B. Oakley, Sentimental Juries, 229 N. AM. REV. 286 (1930) (juror’s earnest remarks about elements in the courtroom sound moralistic today).

Thomas O’Flaherty, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, Mar. 23, 1973, at 36, col. 5 (juror characterizes pointless waiting as a “waste of human resources”; the experience gave him an insight into the treatment of prisoners).

Edmund Pearson, The Juror Is Probably Right, 93 SCRIBNER’S MAG. 115 (Feb. 1933) (experienced juror
finds, "Juries are capable of acting intelligently when the criminal law does not forbid them to use their brains"). Nicholas Pileggi, *Alice in Juryland*, NEW YORK, Mar. 26, 1984, at 47 (juror discovers seemingly petty cases involve much more important hidden factors).

Henry F. Pringle, *We Serve on a Dry Jury*, 153 OUTLOOK & INDEPENDENT 605 (Dec. 18, 1929) (an editor describes his two weeks of jury service hearing prohibition cases in Brooklyn and reflects on the effectiveness of the Volstead Act).

Braiden Rex-Johnson, *My Day in Court*, DALLAS, Feb. 1984, at 60 (juror praises one day/one trial program in Dallas).

Martha Robson, *Deliberations of Juror No. 13*, 97 CHRISTIAN CENTURY 1090 (Nov. 12, 1980) (juror sees that injustice began long before the incident occurred when she reflects on the case of a New York police officer charged with assault).


Diana Rose, *Justice Is a Woman*, 157 OUTLOOK 154 (Jan. 28, 1931) (juror concludes that a mixed jury is preferable to an all-male or all-female jury).

Joseph E. Ryan, *Does Justice Have Gender?*, 118 AMERICA 375 (Mar. 23, 1968) (juror investigates the differences between men's and women's responses to evidence and testimony).

L.T.S., *Woman and the Law*, 3 TEX. B.J. 237 (1940) (woman appeals to lawyers to treat women jurors on the basis of their merits, not their gender).


Russell Scargle, *I Was on a Jury*, 28 COMMONWEAL 209 (June 17, 1938) (juror resolves to avoid jury duty if called again; service is a nuisance and the waiting is tedious).
Deborah Schatz, *The Trials of a Juror*, 49 N.Y. St. B.J. 198 (1977) (juror is reluctant at first, then disappointed to be excused after “a tremendous learning experience”).


Daniel Schorr, *Thoughts on Serving in a Seat of Judgment*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 25, 1974, at 39, col. 1 (well-known television newsperson serves on four criminal cases; “despite judges’ instructions to the contrary we engaged in a certain amount of ‘jury revisionism’ of the law”).

Richard Schott, *Trial by Jury: Reflections of a Juror*, TRIAL, May 1977, at 56 (the judge read travel brochures during this tax case, but the jury felt it rendered a worthwhile public service).

Tryntje Van Ness Seymour, “They Don’t Care About Us,” 51 N.Y. St. B.J. 380 (1979) (juror’s verbatim notes reflect the attitudes of conscientious jurors in New York City; recommends shorter waiting periods and improvements in orientation and facilities for jurors).

Michael P. Sheehan, *Jury Duty: Is It a Privilege?*, N.Y. Times, Aug. 7, 1977, § 11, at 24, col. 1 (juror highlights problems with New Jersey jury system; states jurors hearing testimony may be “acting as pawns in a game of litigational ‘chicken’”).

Bruce Smith, *The Trial and Reward of Being on Jury Duty*, N.Y. Times, June 26, 1983, § 11, at 22, col. 3 (jury duty amounted to two weeks of waiting, but nevertheless the experience was fascinating).

Shirley Smithberg, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, Apr. 26, 1974, at 36, col. 3 (women who refuse jury duty “don’t know what they are missing”; waiting periods were spent fruitfully and provided an opportunity to talk with people from all walks of life).

Carol Spicer, *More Citizens Should Take Their Turns at Jury Duty*, 234 SAT. EVENING POST 10 (Feb. 25, 1961) (a two-month stint of service convinces juror that the system is good and more citizens should participate).

Elton Sterrett, “I Solemnly Swear,” 169 NATION 205 (Aug. 27, 1949) (juror curses the delays and time wasted; jurors cannot be blamed for trying to evade duty).
Susan Strecker, *On Joining the 16 Percent*, EXECUTIVE FEMALE, Jan.-Feb. 1984, at 18 (apart from time wasted while waiting, jury duty was challenging and stimulating).

William Stricker, Letter to the Editor, N.Y. Times, Nov. 27, 1980, at 26, col. 4 (juror criticizes time-wasting inefficiency of *voir dire*; suggests jurors should complete questionnaires of routine questions asked by the judge and attorneys; a computer could then assign jurors to the appropriate court).


Calvin Trillin, *Letter From Mott Street*, NEW YORKER, Feb. 24, 1986, at 72 (jurors serve “hard time” waiting but time passes quickly when one is preoccupied with deciding between dim sum joints for lunch and the delicacies they offer. Juror is delighted to learn of new lunch places from Chinese panel members).


William Zinsser, *In the Universal Waiting Room*, LIFE, May 3, 1968, at 18B (jury duty offers an opportunity to read *War and Peace*).

Anon., *Everything You Wanted to Know About Jury Duty*, NEW ORLEANS, Dec. 1975, at 12 (journalist describes jury selection and feelings of relief when excused from a case that sounded uninteresting; finally serves three days and is fascinated by the personalities of the people involved in the trial).

Anon., *Justice, The Lady—Uh—Woman with the Bandaged Eyes*, 47 CONN. B.J. 241 (1973) (juror’s humorous observations on several cases).

Anon., *Just How Stupid Are Juries?*, HARPER'S MAG., Dec. 1938, at 84 (on the basis of experiences over twenty years, the writer-juror believes attorneys underestimate the intelligence of jurors who arrive at their verdicts after careful deliberation and consideration of the evidence alone).

Anon., *As the Juryman Sees the Lawyer*, 10 VA. L. REG. (n.s.) 474 (Nov. 1924) (author suggests changes in courtroom procedure to overcome “being bored almost to a state of nervous prostration”).


Anon., *How a “Mixed Jury” Passed an All-Night Session*, 76 LITERARY DIG., Mar. 17, 1923, at 50 (juror tells how ballots, hymn singing and crap shooting with sugar cubes helped pass the time).

Anon., *Eleven Men and One Woman: An Account of an Experience on a Jury by the Woman*, 127 OUTLOOK 508 (1921) (a melodrama in which a young wife sues her in-laws for alienation of affection).

Anon., *Extracts From the Diary of a Juror*, 123 OUTLOOK 571 (1919) (juror describes his week on a jury and concludes that despite the tedium, jury service is a worthwhile learning experience for Americans).

Anon., *Impressions of a Juryman*, 2 DOCKET 1106, 1128 (1914) (juror describes six weeks of “hard work, calling
for the exercise of physical endurance and mental discipline").

Comment, *May I Be Excused?*, HONOLULU, Jan. 1977, at 44 (initially reluctant juror learns responsible citizens should spare the time to serve).

Notes and Comment, "*The Talk of the Town,*" NEW YORKER, Nov. 21, 1964, at 47 (the judge’s rhetoric and the jury’s spirit made this juror’s experience exhilarating).

The Insider, *Our Day in Court*, 4 HOUSTON CITY MAG., July 1980, at 6 ("‘Good morning Ladies and Gentlemen and *welcome* to the Harris County Criminal Court.’ It was like the Johnny Carson show at eight in the morning").

*The Spectator*, 101 OUTLOOK 647 (1912) (the writer hates jury duty because of the "wasted time, wounded dignity, physical discomfort, and general vexation").

IV. **JOURNALISTS’ REPORTS OF LAYPERSONS’ EXPERIENCE AS JURORS**


Ralph Blumenfeld, *Harlem Four: The Minds of a Jury*, 214 NATION 262 (Feb. 28, 1972) (the futility of trying to "read" the jury).


Barry Farrell, *The Manson Jury*, LIFE, Apr. 16, 1971, at 44 (the ordeal of the jury that was sequestered for a record-breaking nine and a half months).

James Feron, *Reporter’s Notebook: Brink’s Attention Shifts to Brown from Boudin*, N.Y. Times, Apr. 8, 1975, § 11WC,
at 1, col. 1 (jury selection enters its ninth week in Brink's robbery and murder case).

Mark Fitzgerald, *Reporter Serves as Juror on Case She Reported*, EDITOR & PUBLISHER, Mar. 9, 1985, at 12 (juror is well acquainted with the facts and faces in an Indiana bribery trial; sees need for "a juror's bill of rights").

Martin Fox, *Newsmen See Test in Shifting from Reporter to Juror Role*, N.Y.L.J., Sept. 25, 1984, at 3, col. 1 (trained to be neutral observers, reporters find passing judgment as jurors is difficult).

Otto Friedrich, *We, the Jury, Find the ...*, TIME, Sept. 28, 1981, at 44 (cover story discussing various aspects of the jury system).

Michael Goodwin, *For One Jury, the Trial Is in the Waiting*, N.Y. Times, Nov. 6, 1979, § 11, at 1, col. 1 (diary notes of a juror; after the frustration of numerous delays the case finally settles out of court).


Anne Taibleson, *A Farmer Juror Does His Duty*, 8 Nat'l L.J., Jan. 27, 1986, at 51 (frustrating jury experience prompts professor of education-juror to write "How to Avoid Jury Duty." On dressing for a successful jury-duty rejection: "A Hawaiian shirt and a fatigue jacket, preferably worn at the same time, should alienate even the most savy attorney." Book includes a "jury-lounge survival kit with games, puzzles and cartoons").

Davis Thomas, *From the Inside: Why Finch Jury Disagreed*, LIFE, Mar. 28, 1960, at 76 (one factor that attributed to bitter dissension among jurors was racial prejudice against the two holdouts).

Wallace Turner, *Jurors on Coast Criticize System*, N.Y. Times, Feb. 27, 1972, at 95, col. 5 (California jurors criticize grand jury system; one sues over removal from grand jury committees).


Martin Weil, *Treadwell Jury Lays Delay to Complex Case*, Wash. Post, July 30, 1983, § 1, A10 (sifting the evidence in fraud and conspiracy trial requires 17 days of deliberation).

Note, *Day in Court*, 19 TEX. B.J. 338 (1956) (woman juror claims some features of the system need to be corrected: "You men had the jury system a long, long time and it would take the women to clean it up").

*Bess Myerson a Distraction as a Prospective Juror*, N.Y. Times, Dec. 5, 1979, § 11, at 8, col. 4 (popular figure Bess Myerson turns up for jury duty; she was sought out for autographs, told about consumer complaints, and received a standing ovation in one courtroom).

*Eight Months to a Verdict*, TIME, Sept. 28, 1981, at 54 (12 jurors and 6 alternates face hardship in the drawn-out trial of the "Pontiac Ten").

*Getting Mixed Up with the Law*, HARPER'S WEEKLY, Dec. 1, 1975, at 15 (four juror's accounts included in readers' letters concerning their courtroom experiences).

testimony of government figure in case involving ‘campaign contributions’).

*Life Among the Manson Jurors,* TIME, Apr. 12, 1971, at 42 (jurors’ personal lives suffer during prolonged sequestration).


*The Twelfth Man Hangs a Jury,* TIME, Feb. 19, 1979, at 97 (despite overwhelming evidence, juror with “confidential sources” votes for acquittal in congressional bribery case).

*They Also Serve,* NEW YORKER, Mar. 10, 1945, at 18 (extortion case calls for blue ribbon jury).