

Index: Sports Law in Law Reviews and Journals

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/sportslaw>



Part of the [Entertainment and Sports Law Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Index: Sports Law in Law Reviews and Journals, 11 Marq. Sports L. Rev. i (2001)

Available at: <http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/sportslaw/vol11/iss2/13>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Marquette Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact megan.obrien@marquette.edu.

SPORTS LAW IN LAW REVIEWS AND JOURNALS

[Resources used for this index include: LEXIS, WESTLAW, the NSLI's Library, Legal-Trac, InfoTrac, Smart Clip, Index to Legal Periodicals, and SSLASPA Newsletter (updated since the last index).]

Amateur Sports

1. Jeffrey Boncek, Comment, *The Supreme Court Demands Class in the Classroom (Davis v. Monroe County Bd. of Educ., 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999)*, 31 TEX. TECH L. REV. 1259 (2000).
2. Jay Cherwin, *Not-so-Great Expectations: The NCAA's Initial Eligibility Requirements*, 9 KAN. J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 706 (2000).
3. William Grob, Note, *Access Denied: Prohibiting Home-schooled Students from Participating in Public-school Athletics and Activities*, 16 GA. ST. U. L. REV. 823 (2000).
4. Kim Hudson, Comment, *To Fee or Not to Fee: The Use of Mandatory Student Activity Fees to Fund Private Organizations That Engage in Political or Ideological Speech or Activity (Southworth v. Grebe, 151 F.3d 717, 7th Cir. 1998, reh'g denied, 157 F.3d 1124, 7th Cir. 1998, and rev'd sub nom. Board of Regents v. Southworth, 120 S. Ct. 1346, U.S. 2000)*, 30 CUMB. L. REV. 277 (1999-2000).
5. Thomas R. Hurst & J. Grier Pressly III, *Payment of Student-Athletes: Legal & Practical Obstacles*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 55 (2000).
6. Alfred Dennis Mathewson, *The Eligibility Paradox*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 83 (2000).
7. Michael J. Mondello & Amy M. Abernethy, *A Historical Overview of Student-Athlete Academic Eligibility and the Future Implications of Cureton v. NCAA*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 127 (2000).
8. Chad W. Pekron, *The Professional Student Athlete: Undermining Amateurism as an Antitrust Defense in NCAA Compensation Challenges*, 24 HAMLINE L. REV. 24 (2000).
9. Lee J. Rosen, Comment, *Proposition 16 and the NCAA Initial-Eligibility Standards: Putting the Student Back in Student-Athlete*, 50 CATH. U. L. REV. 175 (2000).
10. Kelly Kathleen Ryan, Note, *The Cost of Free Speech: First Amendment Limitations of Student Activity Funds (Southworth v. Grebe, 151 F.3d 717, 7th Cir. 1998, reh'g denied, 157 F.3d 1124, 7th Cir. 1998, cert. granted sub nom. Board of Regents of the Univ. of Wis. Sys. v. Southworth, 119 S. Ct. 1332, 1999)*, 83 MARQ. L. REV. 707 (2000).
11. Rodney K. Smith, *A Brief History of the National Collegiate Athletic Association's Role in Regulating Intercollegiate Athletics*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 9 (2000).
12. Kevin Stangel, Comment, *Protecting Universities' Economic Interests: Holding Student-Athletes and Coaches Accountable for Willful Violations of NCAA Rules*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 137 (2000).

Antitrust

1. Gregory M. Krakau, Note, *Monopoly and Other Children's Games: NCAA's Antitrust Suit Woes Threaten its Existence*, 61 OHIO ST. L.J. 399 (2000).
2. *Leveling the Playing Field: Relevant Product Market Definition in Sports Franchise Relocation Cases*, 2000 U. CHI. LEGAL F. 245.
3. Matthew J. Mitten, *Applying Antitrust Law to NCAA Regulation of "Big Time" College Athletics: The Need to Shift from Nostalgic 19th and 20th Century Ideals of Amateurism to the Economic Realities of the 21st Century*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 1 (2000).

Disability Issues

1. Michael Waterstone, *Let's be Reasonable Here: Why the ADA Will Not Ruin Professional Sports*, 2000 BYU L. REV. 1489 (2000).

Drug-Testing

1. Benjamin Gerald Dusing, Note, *Constitutional Standards for Suspicionless Student Drug Testing: A Moving Target*, 88 KY. L.J. 687 (1999-2000).
2. Ian Messerle, Note, *The Fourth Amendment, Random Drug Testing, and the High School Marching Band (Trinidad School District No. 1 v. Lopez, 963 P.2d 1095, Colo. 1998)*, 61 U. PITT. L. REV. 819 (2000).
3. Jennifer E. Smiley, Comment, *Rethinking the "Special Needs" Doctrine: Suspicionless Drug Testing of High School Students and the Narrowing of Fourth Amendment Protections*, 95 NW. U. L. REV. 811 (2001).
4. Peter A. Veytsman, Comment, *Drug Testing Student Athletes and Fourth Amendment Privacy: The Legal Aftermath of Vernonia v. Acton*, 73 TEMP. L. REV. 295 (2000).

Gambling

1. James R. Hobbs & Amy R. Perry, *Internet Gambling: Is it Worth the Risk?* 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 1 (2000).
2. Theresa E. Loscalzo & Stephen J. Shapiro, *Internet Gambling Policy: Prohibition Versus Regulation*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 11 (2000).
3. I. Nelson Rose, *Gambling and the Law (Registered): The Future of Internet Gambling*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 29 (2000).

Gender Issues

1. Chantelle Forgues, Note, *A Global Hurdle: The Implementation of an International Nondiscrimination Norm Protecting Women from Gender Discrimination in International Sports*, 18 B.U. INT'L L.J. 247 (2000).
2. Matthew P. Hamner, Note, *Bump, Set, Spiked: Determining Whether the National Collegiate Athletic Association is a Recipient of Federal Funds Under Title IX (National Collegiate Athletic Ass'n v. Smith, 525 U.S. 459, 1999)*, 65 MO. L. REV. 773 (2000).
3. Latosha Higgins, Note, *Lack of Knowledge of Sexual Harassment Shields School Districts From Employer Liability Under Title IX (Gebser v. Lago Vista Indep. School Dist., 118 S. Ct. 1989, 1998)*, 18 ST. LOUIS U. PUB. L. REV. 317 (1999).
4. William A. Kaplin, *A Typology and Critique of Title IX Sexual Harassment Law After Gebser and Davis*, 26 J.C. & U.L. 615 (2000).
5. Patricia Romano, *Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education: Title IX Recipients' "Head in the Sand" Approach to Peer Sexual Harassment May Incur Liability*, 30 J.L. & EDUC. 63 (2001).
6. Kristen L. Safier, Comment, *A Request for Congressional Action: Deconstructing the Supreme Court's (In)Activism in . . . (Gebser v. Lago Indep. Sch. Dist., 524 U.S. 274, 1998; and Davis v. Monroe Bd. of Ed., 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999)*, 68 U. CIN. L. REV. 1309 (2000).
7. Kristi L. Schoepfer, Comment, *Title VII: An Alternative Remedy for Gender Inequity in Intercollegiate Athletics*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 107 (2000).
8. Melissa M. Solocinski, Casenote, *Opening the Floodgates—Deliberate Indifference, Causation and Control as Means of Authorizing Unlimited Litigation Under Title IX (Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education, 526 U.S. 629, 1999)*, 55 U. MIAMI L. REV. 147 (2000).

Intellectual Property Issues

1. Askan Deutsch, *Sports Broadcasting and Virtual Advertising: Defining the Limits of Copyright Law and the Law of Unfair Competition*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 41 (2000).
2. Krystian G. Koper, *The Digital Transmission Compulsory License: Is it in Tune with the Internet?*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 65 (2000).

3. Edwin F. McPherson, *Truth in Advertising: A Look at One Right of Publicity Case Gone Terribly Wrong*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 1 (2000).
4. Inga Munsinger, *Trade Dress for Success: Fashion Designs as Distinctive Product Configurations*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 47 (2000).
5. Loren J. Weber, *Something in the Way She Moves: The Case for Applying Copyright Protection to Sports Moves*, 23 COLUM.-VLA J.L. & ARTS 315 (2000).

Professional Sports

1. Robert González Echevarria, *The Magic of Baseball*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 145 (2000).
2. David P. Fidler, *Introduction: Symposium: Baseball in the Global Era: Economic, Legal, and Cultural Perspectives*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 1 (2000).
3. William B. Gould IV, *Baseball and Globalization: The Game Played and Heard and Watched 'Round the World (With Apologies to Soccer and Bobby Thomson)*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 85 (2000).
4. Samuel R. Hill, *Baseball in Canada*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 37 (2000).
5. Masaru Ikei, *Baseball, Besuboru, Yakyu,: Comparing the American and Japanese Games*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 73 (2000).
6. Leonard Koppett, *The Globalization of Baseball: Reflections of a Sports Writer*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 81 (2000).
7. Michael J. O'Connor, Jr., *Practical Considerations for Attorneys Assisting Foreign Athletes to Play Professional Sports in the United States*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 13 (2000).
8. Samuel O. Regalado, *"Latin Players on the Cheap": Professional Baseball Recruitment in Latin America and the Neocolonialist Tradition*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 9 (2000).
9. Daniel Ringold, *Full Court Pressure: A Look at the 1998-1999 National Basketball Association Lockout*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 101 (2000).
10. Mark S. Rosentraub, *Governing Sports in the Global Era: A Political Economy of Major League Baseball and its Stakeholders*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 121 (2000).
11. Rachel Schaffer, Comment, *Grabbing Them by the Balls: Legislatures, Courts, and Team Owners Bar Non-elite Professional Athletes from Workers' Compensation*, 8 AM. U. J. GENDER SOC. POL'Y & L. 623 (2000).
12. Heike K. Sullivan, Comment, *The MLS's Single-Entity Structure is a "Sham" (Fraser v. Major League Soccer, 7 F. Supp. 73, D. Mass. 1998)*, 73 TEMP. L. REV. 865 (2000).
13. Angel Vargas, *The Globalization of Baseball: A Latin American Perspective*, 8 IND. J. GLOBAL LEGAL STUD. 21 (2000).
14. Brian Ward, Note, *The National Hockey League's Collective Bargaining Agreement: Its Inadequacies in Dealing with League Internationalization*, 23 SUFFOLK TRANSNAT'L L. REV. 747 (2000).

Torts

1. Jack Anderson, *Citius, Altius, Fortius? A Study of Criminal Violence in Sport*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 87 (2000).
2. Antoinette C. Clark, *Law and Order on the Courts: The Application of Criminal Liability for Intentional Fouls During Sporting Events*, 32 ARIZ. ST. L.J. 1149 (2000).
3. Ammie I. Roseman-Orr, Comment, *Recreational Activity Liability in Hawaii: Are Waivers Worth the Paper on Which They Are Written?*, 21 U. HAW. L. REV. 715 (1999).

Miscellaneous

1. Jennifer Kay Braman, Note, *Food for Sport or Faustian Bargain: Regulating Performance Enhancing Dietary Supplements*, 47 CLEV. ST. L. REV. 417 (1999).
2. Rodney L. Caughron, *Safe at First: A Guide to Help Sports Administrators Reduce Their Liability*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 161 (2000) (book review).
3. Sinead Clifford, *Entertainment Contracts with Minors in Texas*, 1 TEX. R. ENT. SPORTS L. 133 (2000).
4. Jenna Doviak & Gina Scamby, Casenote, *Table Dancing Around the First Amendment: The Constitutionality of Distance Requirements in . . . (Colacurcio v. City of Kent, 163 F.3d 545, 9th Cir. 1998)*, 7 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 151 (2000).
5. Kirsten Hauser, *Youth Sports and the Law: A Guide to Legal Issues*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 165 (2000) (book review).
6. Gregory J. Heller & Jeffrey A. Hechtman, *Corporate Sponsorships of Sports and Entertainment Events: Considerations in Drafting a Sponsorship Management Agreement*, 11 MARQ. SPORTS L. REV. 23 (2000).
7. Kenneth L. Shropshire, Keynote Address, *Beyond Sprewell: The New American Dream*, 4 J. GENDER RACE & JUST. 1 (2000).