

Index: Sports Law in Law Reviews and Journals

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/sportslaw>



Part of the [Entertainment and Sports Law Commons](#)

Repository Citation

Index: Sports Law in Law Reviews and Journals, 11 Marq. Sports L. Rev. i (2000)

Available at: <http://scholarship.law.marquette.edu/sportslaw/vol11/iss1/13>

This Index is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at Marquette Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact megan.obrien@marquette.edu.

SPORTS LAW IN LAW REVIEWS AND JOURNALS

[Resources used for this index include: LEXIS, WESTLAW, the NSLI's Library, Legal-Trac, InfoTrac, Smart Clip, Index to Legal Periodicals, and SSLASPA Newsletter (updated since the last index).]

Agency

1. Bryan Couch, Comment, *How Agent Competition and Corruption Affects Sports and the Athlete-Agent Relationship and What Can be Done to Control It*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 111 (2000).

Amateur Sports

1. Hazel Glenn Beh, *Student versus University: The University's Implied Obligations of Good Faith and Fair Dealing*, 59 MD. L. REV. 183 (2000).

2. Michael Book, Comment, *Group Suspicion: The Key to Evaluating Student Drug Testing*, 48 U. KAN. L. REV. 637 (2000).

3. W. Burlette Carter, *Student-Athlete Welfare in a Restructured NCAA*, 2 VA. J. SPORTS & L. 1 (2000).

4. Jeffrey A. Crossman, Comment, *Mark McGwire Does It, So Why Can't I? High School Student Use of Dietary Supplements and the Failure of DSHEA*, 28 CAP. U. L. REV. 617 (2000).

5. Stephanie M. Greene, *Regulating the NCAA: Making the Calls Under the Sherman Antitrust Act and Title IX*, 52 MAINE L. REV. 81 (2000).

6. Robert B. Groholski, Comment, *The Right to Representation by Counsel in University Disciplinary Proceedings: A Denial of Due Process of Law*, 19 N. ILL. U. L. REV. 739 (1999).

7. Louis Hakim, *The Student Athlete vs. the Athlete Student: Has the Time Arrived for an extended-term Scholarship Contract?*, 2 VA. J. SPORTS & L. 145 (2000).

8. Amanda L. Harrison, Comment, *Urinating on the Pennsylvania Constitution? Drug testing of High School Athletes and Article I, Section 8 of the Pennsylvania Constitution*, 104 DICK. L. REV. 379 (2000).

9. Nathan Hunt, Note, *Fumble! The Flawed Use of Proposition 16 by the NCAA (Curteon v. NCAA, 37 F. Supp. 2d 687, E.D. Pa. 1999)*, 31 U. TOL. L. REV. 273 (2000).

10. Catherine B. Johnson, Note, *Stopping Hate Without Stifling Speech: Re-examining the Merits of Hate Speech Codes on University Campuses*, 27 FORDHAM URB. L.J. 1821 (2000).

11. James M. McCray, Note, *Urine Trouble! Extending Constitutionality to Mandatory Suspicionless Drug Testing of Students in Extracurricular Activities*, 53 VAND. L. REV. 387 (2000).

12. Tyler J. Murray, Note, *Illegalizing the NCAA's eligibility rules: Did Cureton v. NCAA go too far, or not far enough? (Cureton v. National Collegiate Athletic Ass'n, 37 F.Supp.2d 687, E.D. Pa. 1999)*, 26 J. LEGIS. 101 (2000).

13. Eli Denard Oates, Note, *The Recognition of Proposition 16's Misplaced Use of Standardized Tests in the Context of Collegiate Athletics as a Barrier to Educational Opportunities for Minorities (Cureton v. NCAA, 37 F. Supp. 2d 687, E.D. Pa., rev'd, 198 F.3d 107, 3d Cir. 1999)*, 35 WAKE FOREST L. REV. 445 (2000).

14. David J. Pierguidi, Comment, *Civil Rights—State action—Absent Strong Connections to a State Government, a High School Athletic Association cannot be construed as a State Actor—(Brentwood Academy v. Tennessee Secondary School Athletic Ass'n, 180 F.3d 758, 6th Cir. 1999, cert. granted, 120 S.Ct. 1156, 2000)*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 457 (2000).

15. Charles J. Russo & David L. Gregory, *Legal and Ethical Issues Surrounding Drug Testing in Schools*, 1999 DET. C.L. REV. 751 (1999).

16. Adam S. Taylor & M. Darren Traub, Note, *Scrutinizing Proposition 16 and the Consequences of its Disparate Impact on Prospective Minority Student-Athletes*, (*Cureton v. NCAA*, 37 F. Supp. 2d 687, E.D. Pa. 1999), 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 59 (2000).

17. Travis T. Tygart, *Title IX: The Monitoring of Private Athletic Donations*, 53 OKLA. L. REV. 57 (2000).

18. Scott C. Williams, Note, *Schools, Peer Sexual Harassment, Title IX, and . . .* (*Davis v. Monroe County Bd. of Educ.*, 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999), 51 BAYLOR L. REV. 1087 (1999).

Antitrust

1. Philip R. Bautista, Note, *Congress Says, "Yoou're out!!!" to the Antitrust Exemption of Professional Baseball: A Discussion of the Current State of Player-Owner Collective Bargaining and the Impact of the Curt Flood Act of 1998*, 15 OHIO ST. J. ON DISP. RESOL. 445 (2000).

2. Charles Allen Criswell Jr., Comment, *Repeal of Baseball's Longstanding Antitrust Exemption: Did Congress Strike Out Again?*, 19 N. ILL. U. L. REV. 545 (1999).

3. Philip A. Dominique, Comment, *To Protect a Monopoly: The Hidden Reasons why the National Football League has Purchased an Option to Buy the Arena Football League*, 29 SETON L. REV. 1329 (2000).

4. William C. Dunning, Note, *Antitrust Law—Baseball—The Sale and Relocation of a Professional Baseball Franchise is an Integral Aspect of the Sport and Therefore Exempt from Antitrust Law so that Civil Investigative Demands cannot be Enforced*. (*Minnesota Twins Partnership v. State of Minnesota*, 592 N.W.2d 847, Minn. 1999), 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 167 (2000).

5. Daniel E. Lazaroff, *Sports Equipment Standardization: An Antitrust Analysis*, 34 GA. L. REV. 137 (1999).

6. Dan Messeloff, Note, *The NBA's Deal with the Devil: the Antitrust Implications of the 1999 NBA-NBPA Collective Bargaining Agreement*, 10 FORDHAM INTELL. PROP. MEDIA & ENT. L.J. 521 (2000).

7. David C. Moran, Comment, *Illegal Procedure? The Antitrust Implications of NFL Sunday Ticket*, 18 J.L. & COM. 397 (1999).

8. Ryan Rodenberg, Student Article, *Age Eligibility Rules in Women's Professional Tennis: Necessary for the Integrity, Viability, and Administration of the Game or an Unreasonable Restraint of Trade in Violation of Antitrust Law?*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 183 (2000).

9. Travis T. Tygart, *Antitrust's Impact on the National Football League and Team Relocation*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 29 (2000).

Disability Issues

1. Sean Baker, Comment, *The Casey Martin Case: Its Possible Effects on Professional Sports*, (*Martin v. PGA, Inc.*, 984 F. Supp. 1320, D. Or. 1998 [*Martin I*], *aff'd*, 994 F. Supp. 1242, D. Or. 1998; *Martin v. PGA Tour, Inc.*, 994 F. Supp. 1242, D. Or. 1998 [*Martin II*]), 34 TULSA L.J. 745 (1999).

2. Jonathan R. Cook, *The Americans with Disabilities Act and Its Application to High School, Collegiate and Professional Athletics*, 6 VILL. SPORTS & ENT. L.J. 243 (1999).

3. Adam Jay Golden, *A Good Walk Spoiled: The Americans with Disabilities Act and the Casey Martin Case*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 161 (2000).

4. Todd A. Hentges, *Driving in the Fairway Incurs No Penalty: Martin v. PGA Tour, Inc. and Discriminatory Boundaries in the Americans with Disabilities Act* (*Martin v. PGA Tour, Inc.*, (*Martin II*), 994 F. Supp. 1242, 1244, D. Or. 1998), 18 LAW & INEQ. 131 (2000).

5. Melissa Krueger, Comment, *The Future of ADA Protection for Students with Learning Disabilities in Post-Secondary and Graduate Environments*, 48 U. KAN. L. REV. 607 (2000).

6. Christopher M. Parent, Note, *A Misapplication of the Americans with Disabilities Act* (*Martin v. PGA Tour*, 984 F. Supp. 1320, (D. Or. 1998); *Martin v. PGA Tour*, 994 F. Supp. 1242, (D. Or. 1998), 26 J. LEGIS. 123 (2000).

7. Laura F. Rothstein, *Don't Roll In My Parade: The Impact of Sports and Entertainment Cases on Public Awareness and Understanding of the Americans with Disabilities Act*, 19 REV. LITIG. 399 (2000).

8. Maureen A. Weston, *Academic Standards or Discriminatory Hoops? Learning-Disabled Student-Athletes and the NCAA Initial Academic Eligibility Requirements*, 66 TENN. L. REV. 1049 (1999).

Gender Issues

1. Kimberly Capadona, Comment, *The Scope of Title IX Protection Gains Yardage as Courts continue to Tackle the Contact Sports Exception*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 415 (2000).

2. Lillian Chaves, Note, *Responding to Public School Peer Sexual Harassment in the Face of . . .* (*Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education*, 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999), 2000 BYU EDUC. & L.J. 287 (2000).

3. Abigail Crouse, Comment, *Equal Athletic Opportunity: An Analysis of Mercer v. Duke University and a Proposal to Amend the Contact Sport Exception to Title IX* (*Mercer v. Duke University*, 190 F.3d 643, 4th Cir. 1999), 84 MINN. L. REV. 1655 (2000).

4. Felice M. Duffy, *Twenty-Seven Years Post Title IX: Why Gender Equity in College Athletics does not Exist*, 19 Q.L.R. 67 (2000).

5. Terry W. Dodds, Comment, *Equal Pay in College Coaching: A Summary of Recent Decisions*, 24 S. ILL. U. L.J. 319 (2000).

6. Karen E. Edmonson, Comment, *Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education Goes to College: Holding Post-Secondary Institutions Liable Under Title IX for Peer Sexual Harassment* (*Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education*, 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999), 75 NOTRE DAME L. REV. 1203 (2000).

7. Vanessa H. Eisemann, Student Article, *Protecting the Kids in the Hall: Using Title IX to Stop Student-on-Student Anti-Gay Harassment*, 15 BERKELEY WOMEN'S L.J. 125-160 (2000).

8. Kelly Dixon Furr, Note, *How Well are the Nation's Children Protected from Peer Harassment at school?: Title IX Liability In The Wake of . . .* (*Davis v. Monroe County Board of Education*, 120 F.3d 1390, 11th Cir. 1997, en banc, rev'd and remanded, 526 U.S. 629, 1999), 78 N.C. L. REV. 1573 (2000).

9. Dana S. Hardy, Note, *Education Law—The United States Supreme Court Determines the Standard of Liability Under Title IX* (*Gebser v. Lago Vista Independent School District*, 118 S. Ct. 1989, 1998), 29 N.M. L. REV. 457 (1999).

10. Ross A. Jurewitz, Comment, *Playing at Even Strength: Reforming Title IX Enforcement in Intercollegiate Athletics*, 8 AM. U. J. GENDER SOC. POL'Y & L. 283 (2000).

11. Jarret Raab, Case Comment, *Sexual Discrimination: Peer Sexual Harassment and its Effect under Title IX*. (*Davis v. Monroe County Bd. Of Educ.*, 119 S. Ct. 1661, 1999), 11 U. FLA. J.L. & PUB. POL'Y 225 (2000).

12. Deborah Reed, Note, *Where's the Penalty Flag? A Call for the NCAA to Promulgate an Eligibility Rule Revoking a Male Student-Athlete's Eligibility to Participate in Intercollegiate Athletics for Committing Violent Acts Against Women*, 21 WOMEN'S RTS. L. REP. 41(1999).

13. Thomas M. Rowland, Student article, *Level the Playing Field: The NCAA Should be Subject to Title IX*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 143 (2000).

14. Suzanne Sangree, *Title IX and the Contact Sports Exemption: Gender Stereotypes in a Civil Rights Statute*, 32 CONN. L. REV. 381 (2000).

Intellectual Property Issues

1. Jack Achiezer Guggenheim, *Renaming the Redskins (and the Florida State University Seminoles?): The Trademark Registration Decision and Alternative Remedies*, 27 FLA. ST. U. L. REV. 287 (1999).
2. Jonathan Berger, Student article, *Marching to Madness: "Dual Use" Trademarks after Illinois High School Association v. GTE Vantage*, 21 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT L.J. 785 (1999).
3. Robert G. Bone, *From Property to Contract: The Eleventh Amendment and University-Private Sector Intellectual Property Relationships*, 33 LOY. L.A. L. REV. 1467 (2000).
4. Proloy K. Das, Note, *Offensive Protection: The Potential Application of Intellectual Property Law to Scripted Sports Plays*, 75 IND. L.J. 1073 (2000).
5. Joseph M. Dodge, *Accessions to Wealth, Realization of Gross Income, and Dominion and Control: Applying the "Claim of Right Doctrine" to Found Objects, Including Record-Setting Baseballs*, 4 FLA. TAX REV. 685 (2000).
6. Alvin B. Lindsay, Comment, *Our Team, Our Name, Our Colors: The Trademark Rights of Cities in Team Name Ownership*, 21 WHITTIER L. REV. 915 (2000).
7. Nicole M. Rhoades, Note, *The Constitutional Shaping of Oregon's Trade Secret Law: (State ex rel. Sports Management News v. Nachtigal, 921 P.2d 1304, Or. 1996)*, 35 WILLAMETTE L. REV. 629 (1999).
8. Robert L. Saltzman, Student article, *Television News Access to Exclusively Owned Sporting Events: A Comparative Study*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 1 (2000).

International Sports

1. Mary K. Fitzgerald, Student article, *The Court of Arbitration for Sport: Dealing with Doping and Due Process During the Olympics*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 213 (2000).
2. David Galluzzi, *The Doping Crisis in International Athletic Competition: Lessons from the Chinese Doping Scandal in Women's Swimming*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 65-110 (2000).
3. Ethan Glass, Note, *Slicing the Rent-Seeking Onion: Why Differential Rent-Seeking Explains the Competitive Disadvantage of United States Sports Franchises in Canada*, 9 MINN. J. GLOBAL TRADE 691 (2000).
4. Aaron Goldstein, Note, *International Infliction of Emotional Distress: Another Attempt at Eliminating Native American Mascots*, 3 J. GENDER RACE & JUST. 689 (2000).
5. Julie King, *The Protection of Personality Rights for Athletes and Entertainers under English Intellectual Property Law: Practical Difficulties in Relying on an Action of Passing Off*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 351 (2000).
6. Amikam Omer Kranz, *The Bosman Case: The Relationship Between European Union Law and the Transfer System in European Football*, 5 COLUM. J. EUR. L. 431 (1999).
7. Mark Meltz, Note, *Hand it Over: Exclusive EU Sports Broadcasting Rights, and the Article 85(3) Exemption*, 23 B.C. INT'L & COMP. L. REV. 105 (1999).
8. Jens Pelle Van den Brink, *EC Competition Law and the Regulation of European Football*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 105 (2000).

Professional Sports

1. Robert Bacon, Comment, *Initial Public Offerings and Professional Sports Teams: The Regulations Work, but are Owners and Investors Listening?*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 139 (2000).
2. Scott Baglio, Note, *The Muhammad Ali Boxing Reform Act: The First Jab at Establishing Credibility in Professional Boxing*, 68 FORDHAM L. REV. 2257 (2000).

3. Richard Dewland & Joseph Majka, *Joe DiMaggio: The Yankee Clipper Has Left and Gone Away*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 1 (2000).
4. Alfred G. Feliu, *Foreword: Discipline in Professional Sports*, 17 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 129 (1999).
5. Franklin M. Fisher & Christopher Maxwell et al., *The Economics of Sports Leagues and the Relocation of Teams: The Case of the St. Louis Rams*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 193 (2000).
6. Adam W. Heller, Student Article, *Creating a Win-Win Situation Through Collective Bargaining: the NFL Salary Cap*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 375 (2000).
7. Jason S. Weiss, Student Article, *The Changing Face of Baseball: In an Age of Globalization, Is Baseball Still as American as Apple Pie and Chevrolet?*, 8 INT'L & COMP. L. REV. 123 (2000).

Sports Facilities

1. David E. Cardwell, *Sports Facilities & Urban Redevelopment*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 417 (2000).
2. Michael J. Cremonese, Comment, *Building New Stadiums With Your Money Whether You Like it or Not: The Pennsylvania Constitution Does Not Prohibit the Use of Public Funds to Construct New Stadiums*, 37 DUQ. L. REV. 423 (1999).
3. Tim Chapin, *The Political Economy of Sports Facility Location: An End-of-the-Century Review and Assessment*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 361 (2000).
4. John R. Dorocak, *Tax Advantages of Sports Franchises: Part I – the Stadium*, 1999 DET. C.L. REV. 579 (1999).
5. Kevin Clark Forsythe, *The Stadium Game Pittsburgh Style: Observations on the Latest Round of Publicly Financed Sports Stadia in Steel Town, U.S.A.; and Comparisons with 28 other Major League Teams*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 237 (2000).
6. Rodney Fort, *Stadium and Public and Private Interests in Seattle*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 311 (2000).
7. Martin J. Greenberg, *Stadium Financing and Franchise Relocation Act of 1999*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 383 (2000).
8. Scott A. Jensen, Comment, *Financing Professional Sports Facilities with Federal Tax Subsidies: Is It Sound Tax Policy?*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 425 (2000).
9. W.S. Miller, "What Do You mean My Facility is Obsolete?": *How 21st Century Technology Could Change Sports Facility Development*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 335 (2000).
10. Marc D. Oram, Note, *The Stadium Financing and Franchise Relocation Act of 1999*, 2 VA. J. SPORTS & L. 184 (2000).
11. Mark S. Rosentraub, *Sports Facilities, Redevelopment, and the Centrality of Downtown Areas: Observations and Lessons from Experiences in a Rustbelt and Sunbelt City*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 219 (2000).
12. Allen R. Sanderson, *In Defense of New Sports Stadiums, Ballparks and Arenas*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 173 (2000).
13. Lisa-Michele Smith, Student Article, *History, Rivalry, Envy, and Relocation: Will the Sale of the New York Jets Give Rise to a New Stadium?*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 309 (2000).

Torts

1. Terrence J. Centner, *Tort Liability for Sports and Recreational Activities: Expanding Statutory Immunity for Protected Classes and Activities*, 26 J. LEGIS. 1 (2000).
2. Melissa Cohen, Note, *Tort Law—Recreational Activity—Standard of Care—Co-Participants in Recreational Activities Owe Each Other a Duty Not to Act Recklessly* (Ritchie-

Gamester v. City of Berkley, 597 N.W.2d 517, Mich. 1999), 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 187 (2000).

3. Jason R. Jenkins, Comment, *Not Necessarily the Best Seat in the House: A Comment on the Assumption of Risk by Spectators at Major Auto Racing Events*, 35 TULSA L.J. 163 (1999).

4. Jonathan H. Katz, Note, *From the Penalty Box to the Penitentiary—The People versus Jesse Boulterice*, 31 RUTGERS L.J. 833 (2000).

5. Annmarie Pinarski, Note, *When Coaches “Cross the Line”: Hostile Athletic Environment Sexual Harassment*, 52 RUTGERS L. REV. 911 (2000).

Miscellaneous

1. Robert D. Manfred Jr., *Labor Law and the Sports Industry*, 17 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 133 (1999).

2. Gene Orza, *The Perception and Reality of Discipline in Sports*, 17 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 139 (1999).

3. George Nicolau, *Discipline in Sports*, 17 HOFSTRA LAB. & EMP. L.J. 145 (1999).

4. Amy Wang, Note, *Consumer Advocacy in the Sports Industry: Recognizing and Enforcing the Legal Rights of Sports Fans*, 21 HASTINGS COMM. & ENT. L.J. 809 (1999).

5. Alfred R. Light, *Conscripting State Law to Protect Volunteers: The Odd Formulation of Federalism in “Opt-Out” Preemption*, 10 SETON HALL J. SPORT L. 9 (2000).

6. Robert A. Baade & Victor Matheson, *High Octane? Grading the Economic Impact of the Daytona 500*, 10 MARQ. SPORTS L.J. 401 (2000).

7. Karen Ahearn, Student article, *HIV-Positive Athletes*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 279 (2000).

8. Teresa Herbert, *Are Player Injuries Adequately Compensated?*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 243 (2000).

9. *Tulane Moot Court Mardi Gras Invitational*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 409 (2000).

10. *Problem*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 409 (2000).

11. *Winning Brief*, 7 SPORTS LAW. J. 417 (2000).

12. David G. Cotter, *Outdoor Sport Shooting Ranges: An Endangered Species Deserving of Protection*, 16 T.M. COOLEY L. REV. 163 (1999).

13. Misti Weeks, Comment, *Establishment Clause Meets Free Exercise Clause in Friday Night Football: With Supreme Court Misguidance, Fifth Circuit drops the First Amendment Ball on the 1-yard line*, 31 TEX. TECH L. REV. 1083 (2000).